



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/071,560	02/08/2002	Matthew C. Burch	1528.035US1	9891
21186 7	590 06/02/2005		EXAM	INER
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0938			ORTIZ, E	BELIX M
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2164	
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/071,560 BURCH, MATTHEW C.		
Examiner	Art Unit	
Belix M. Ortiz	2164	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 03 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires ____ ___months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. L The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-45. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ___ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. Other: _ SAM RIMELL

THE MARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

In response to applicants' arguments that Ran "does not teach track logs", the arguments have been fully considered but are not deemed persuasive, because Ran teach "point to point, node, and node coordinate", (see Ran, column 17, lines 17-39 and column 21, lines 30-49).

In response to applicants' arguments that Ran "does not teach specifying a desired first endpoint and a desired second endpoint for a desired track log", the arguments have been fully considered but are not deemed persuasive, because Ran teach "While en-route 812, various location technologies, such as GPS, can be used to identify the current location 813 of the user. The current location information can be sent back to the personalized traffic prediction and trip decision support system 85. Instead, the user can also input the current location using voice or text. If the user has selected all the personalized profiles and parameters before the trip, the user can use an account and password to retrieve this saved information so that the personalized traffic prediction and trip decision support system 85 re-compute the updated traffic prediction and routing recommendations from the current location to destination", (see Ran, figure 8, character 84 and 814 and column 22, lines 4-15).

In response to applicants' arguments that Ran "does not teach assigning an actual first endpoint for the track log based on the desired first endpoint and a set of track log points, and an actual second endpoint for the track log based on the desired second endpoint and the set of track log points", the arguments have been fully considered but are not deemed persuasive, because Ran teaches track log from the start point to the endpoint, (see Ran, figures 7-9; column 17, lines 17-60).

In response to applicants' arguments that Ran "does not teach identifying the desired track log using the actual first endpoint, the actual second endpoint, and at least one track log point, the arguments have been fully considered but are not deemed persuasive, because Ran teaches "enter starting location" and "enter destination location", (see figures 7-9 abd 10A; column 17, lines 17-47 and column 21, lines 62-64).

In response to applicants' arguments that Ran "does not teach the method further comprising validating the desired first endpoint and the desired second endpoint", the arguments have been fully considered but are not deemed persuasive, because Ran teaches "Moreover, one or a plurality of these traffic prediction models 8 are sometimes used simultaneously for an area in order to generate a set of traffic predictions which can be verified against each other",(see Ran, column 19, lines 15-18.